Montague Town Meeting

Article 2

Don Valley presenting

18:30 Michael Nelson

I just want to echo the comments of the two gentlemen [who spoke] ahead of me (David Detmold and David Jensen). It seems like a no brainer. We have somebody who is in town, local, well known, established, has financial resources, determined, has been on this process this long, and is still interested in doing it -- I’m all for a “failsafe”, I’m all about having a “Plan B” and having the money for it, so I fully support the article – but I also support MCCI in making this happen. It’s just ridiculous that we have somebody so dedicated doing this and it’s taking so long. And I want to shout out \*to\* the folks of MCTV and thank them for continuing with the process, and I encourage the EDIC and the other associated people to make the right decision and move forward with this project.

29:20 Patricia Pruitt

You say if it were torn down it would be a blank slate and anyone could do what they want, but wouldn’t the [DCR] 512 Agreement still govern anything that went in there? So, not necessarily an easier fix. Right?

Don Valley: No, but it is clear, and therefore any Town development, cultural element, cultural with commercial element, could be designed around the 512. But you’re right. It still will have restrictions.
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